

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,318	10/20/2003	Richard E. Stamper	15268-0008	4137
75	90 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Group			WIEKER, AMANDA FLYNN	
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP 2700 First Indiana Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/689,318	STAMPER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amanda F. Wieker	3743			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo			•			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 N	lovember 2003.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/03	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/689,318 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language "are provided" in line 1 can be inferred from the abstract and should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 3,099,441 to Ries.

Ries discloses an apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, the apparatus comprising: a frame (14, 15, 16, 17, 30), and a plurality of constraints (11, 12, 13) engaged with the frame to constrain the patient's head (10) relative to the frame, wherein the plurality of constraints includes a first constraint (either of 12, 13) including an axis oriented generally radial to the patient's head, the first constraint configured to permit at least four degrees of motion (12 and 13 each permit exactly five degrees of motion; three rotational degrees, in addition to 'up/down' and 'left/right' as allowed by pivot 33), a first degree of motion of the at least four degrees of motion generally permitting motion transverse to the axis of the first constraint.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ries in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,179,846 to McFadden.

Ries discloses the previously described apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, wherein the apparatus includes three constraints engaged with the frame to constrain the patient's head, two of which (12, 13) have five degrees of motion and one of which (11) has three degrees of motion (rotational). However, according to the criteria set forth in Applicant's specification, this does not "exactly constrain" the patient's head relative to the frame.

McFadden discloses an apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, and teaches the use of an additional constraint on the pivoting side of the head, in order to improve stability of the apparatus (see Figure 1, and column 3, lines 29-33).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus disclosed by Reis with an additional constraint on the pivoting side, as taught by McFadden, to provide more stability to the apparatus, thus yielding a device with three constraints having five degrees of motion each, and one constraint with three degrees of motion, resulting in an apparatus that "exactly constrains" the head, as defined by Applicant.

6. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ries in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,203,765 to Friddle, Jr.

Ries discloses the previously described apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, wherein the apparatus includes three constraints engaged with the frame to constrain the patient's head, two of which (12, 13) have five degrees of motion and one of which (11) has three degrees of motion (rotational). Ries discloses that the frame is secured to the patient's head to keep it immobile during surgery.

Friddle, Jr. discloses an apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, wherein the apparatus includes a plurality of constraints (14) engaged with a frame (12) to constrain the patient's head. Friddle, Jr. specifies that the frame be supported by a support structure (36), wherein the support structure includes a vest (18) worn by the patient to provide relative support between the head and torso of the patient.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus disclosed by Ries wherein the frame is supported by a support structure including a vest, as taught by Friddle, Jr., to provide relative support between the head and torso of the patient.

7. Claims 6, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ries in view of McFadden in further view of U.S. Patent Number 5,203,765 to Friddle, Jr.

Ries in view of McFadden disclose the previously described apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, comprising three constraints having five degrees of motion each, and one constraint with three degrees of motion, resulting in an apparatus that "exactly constrains" the head. Ries discloses that the frame is secured to the patient's head to keep it immobile during surgery.

Friddle, Jr. discloses an apparatus for restricting movement of a patient's head, wherein the apparatus includes a plurality of constraints (14) engaged with a frame (12) to constrain the

Application/Control Number: 10/689,318 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

patient's head. Friddle, Jr. specifies that the frame be supported by a support structure (36), wherein the support structure includes a vest (18) worn by the patient to provide relative support between the head and torso of the patient.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the apparatus disclosed by Ries in view of McFadden, wherein the frame is supported by a support structure including a vest, as taught by Friddle, Jr., to provide relative support between the head and torso of the patient.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda F. Wieker whose telephone number is 571-272-4794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:30 - 6:00 and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Art Unit 3743

afw

Henry Bennett Supervisory Patent Examine